

**MINUTES OF THE SAMVAL RE-WRITE MEETING**  
**HELD 26 SEPTEMBER 2013 2012 AT 08:00**  
**AT THE MILITARY MUSEUM, DELVLLE ROOM**

|                      |  |                          |
|----------------------|--|--------------------------|
| <b>Present:</b>      | <b>A S Macfarlane (Chairman)</b>                 |                          |
|                      | <b>M Austin</b>                                  | <b>J Odendaal</b>        |
|                      | <b>H Bornman</b>                                 | <b>Dr T Marshall</b>     |
|                      | <b>J Botha</b>                                   | <b>K Redman</b>          |
|                      | <b>A Clay</b>                                    | <b>D van Niekerk</b>     |
|                      | <b>J Luckman</b>                                 |                          |
| <b>Apologies:</b>    | <b>R Becker</b>                                  | <b>A McDonald</b>        |
|                      | <b>G Chunnett</b>                                | <b>M Mokitini</b>        |
|                      | <b>R Croll</b>                                   | <b>Prof C Musingwini</b> |
|                      | <b>R Davel</b>                                   | <b>G Njowa</b>           |
|                      | <b>A de Bruyn</b>                                | <b>N Steenkamp</b>       |
|                      | <b>V Duke</b>                                    | <b>J Upshall</b>         |
|                      | <b>S Kadzviti</b>                                | <b>A van Zyl</b>         |
|                      | <b>A Klinghorn</b>                               | <b>I Wermuth</b>         |
| <b>In attendance</b> | <b>S Moolla (Manager, SAIMM)</b>                 |                          |
|                      | <b>J Carpenter (Recordist and minute writer)</b> |                          |

---

**1. Welcome**

The chairman welcomed all to the meeting.

**2. Minutes of the previous meeting**

The minutes of the meeting held on 29 August 2013 meeting were accepted as a true reflection of proceedings subject to the following corrections or amendments:

Page 1 – Minute 3, Matters arising from the previous minutes, paragraph 2, bullet point 3: Brent Scott should read **Brenton** Scott.

Page 1 – Minute 3, Matters arising from the previous minutes, paragraph 3: IMVAL/CIMVAL should be reported as a separate issue and not be listed under “Edit. Committee”.

**3. Matters arising from the previous minutes**

ROPOS: Mr Macfarlane reported that little progress had been made and that a full report-back could only be given at a future meeting.

**4. Report back: Competency Sub-group**

Ms Moolla reported that the SSC had agreed that the SAMVAL WG could go ahead with its planned workshop. The SSC was comfortable with the tabled “competency paper” and there were no comments raised at the meeting. Mr Clay reported that in his opinion the SSC’s position is that the paper is accepted and must now be laid open for inspection and debate. The Working Group is thus to prepare a formal workshop/presentation to registered statutory bodies for their input and comment. The planned workshop invitees include:

- ECSA
- Council of Valuers
- SACNASP
- PLATO
- SAICA
- JSE
- IAS
- SAMVAL WG committee (A Macfarlane assisted by members of the Working Group who will confirm their attendance)
- Two/three members of the SSC (to be confirmed)

Essentially the statutory bodies will be asked to indicate their level of comfort with the “competency paper” and whether they will be able to create a special class of membership within their structures which will accommodate competent valuers and, if so, under what conditions.

Mr Clay informed the Working Group that he was not in favour of excluding the other professional member bodies of the SSC from the workshop as there are members of that Committee who have an interest in the issue of competency and the registration of CVs. In total there are 15 members of the SSC each representing a professional body and they should all be invited. Mr Clay further informed the meeting that the IAS is totally opposed to the registration of analysts and wants to be excluded.

Mr van Niekerk countered that the purpose of the workshop is not to obtain buy-in from all statutory bodies but rather to determine which are able to accommodate the registration of CVs and under what terms and conditions. The “competency paper” is not being put up for debate as it has already been tabled to the SSC which has given its approval. The workshop’s purpose is to determine the registration of CVs, not to obtain their approval.

The workshop is tentatively planned to be held at the end of October 2013 before the next SAMVAL Working Group meeting.

#### **4.1 ROPOs and Competency:**

Mr van Niekerk informed the meeting of a proposal/paper he has compiled in respect of ROPOS.

- i. A statutory/regulatory entity will be used for the registration of CVs, possibly in a specific category or, if required, more than one category.
- ii. There should be reciprocity agreements with other professional bodies with whom ROPOs are registered or, alternatively, will ROPOs have to register under the South African CV registration process? In the case of ECSA, any person performing work in South Africa is given a “permit” covering a specific time period within which he/she is able to work locally.

Extensive discussion and debate took place on the fundamental issue of why there is a need for the registration of CVs and where this need should be housed.

Mr van Niekerk will draw up a list of questions emanating from the discussion at this meeting, and these questions must be tabled to the potential registration bodies. These bodies must also indicate whether or not there is the possibility of the State being able to assume influence over or within the professional body which could lead to people being prosecuted and sentenced to a jail sentence. Is there enough protection under the statutes governing these professional bodies that precludes the State from interfering?

#### **5. Report-back: Editorial Committee**

There was nothing to report.

#### **6. Report-back: Valuation of inferred resources**

There was nothing to report.

**7. Matrix of outstanding questions/issues**

There was nothing to report.

**8. Report-back: IMVAL**

There was nothing to report.

**9. General**

There was nothing to report.

**10. Next meeting**

Subsequent to the meeting it was agreed that the next meeting would be utilised to debate the issues regarding CP/CVs and ROPOs.

There being no further matters for discussion the meeting ended at 09:23.

**SUMMARY OF KEY ACTION ITEMS**

**Secretariat:**

**Circulate the “competency paper” to all statutory bodies for them to determine if and how they can accommodate the registration of CVs.**

**Plan registration of CV workshop for end October, before the next SAMVAL WG meeting.**

**Arrange the meetings of the Competency Sub-group and the Edit Committee for the following week.**

**Alastair Macfarlane:**

**Consult with Kevin Davis (SAICA) and the Council of Valuers prior to the planned workshop to determine their positions with regard to the registration of CVs.**