

**MINUTES OF THE
SAMREC/SAMVAL WORKING GROUP
HELD ON 15 JULY 2010 AT
THE CHAMBER OF MINES BUILDING, ROOM 606**

PRESENT:	Mike O'Brien (Chairman) David Dingemans Andy Clay Viren Deonarain Godknows Njowa Rob Croll Billy Mills Jurgens Visser Kerron Johnstone	Mike McWha Riaan Davel Michael Harley Keith Kenyon Xavier Prevost Alastair Moyes Siobhan Joubert Pieter Smit
APOLOGIES/ABSENT:	Jeremy Witley Ferdinand Camisani Rob Davies Kenneth Coster	Roger Dixon Pieter-Jan Grabe Tim Rowlands
In Attendance:	Julie Dixon (SAIMM Manager) Jackie van Loggenveld (Recorder)	

1. Welcome:

Mike O'Brien welcomed all to the meeting.

2. Minutes of the previous meeting:

The minutes of the previous meeting were accepted as a true reflection of proceedings with no amendments, omissions or corrections noted.

3. Matters arising from the previous minutes:

None noted.

4. Feedback on discussion papers:

The following comments were noted with regard to a main committee meeting held on 10 July 2010:

- a. There has not been sufficient consultation on the subjects raised in the discussion papers
- b. Papers are to be ratified by the main working group
- c. There must be consensus from all stakeholders on changes
- d. It must be emphasized to all interested parties that the SSC is part of a global group and therefore any changes made will have a global impact.

Mandate –

The mandate of the SSC must be:

- Reconfirmed to ensure that the Working Group has not “strayed” from its originally designated activities and to ensure that it is reporting within the required format on decisions taken. **Action: The Secretariat/Working Group**

“Parking lot” issues –

The SSC has requested a response on these issues. Although there have been broad industry consultations only minor comments have been received and this despite also having held two workshops. CRIRSCO will be appraised of comments received for its recommendations.

5. SAMREC/SAMVAL WORKING GROUPS

5.1 CP and CV Accreditation

5.1.1 Continuing Professional Development (by Professional Bodies) as a vehicle for ensuring technical standards and professional credentials.

Ms de Bruyn stated there are still a couple of issues outstanding with regard to this sub-point. Once these are ironed out all information will be circulated to the Group.

5.2 Independence

The Working Group has not seen the final paper but those who have worked on it are confident that it will be suitable. It is accepted that the paper will stir up controversy but the JSE will adopt it, assisted by the SSC. The current paper is aimed at making changes to listing requirements and is thus somewhat different to those already submitted. Ms de Bruyn suggesting getting clearance from the SSC on what exactly the mandate is for “independence” and then a volunteer from the Working Group can “run with it”.

The manager stated that she had four responses to an invite sent out to suitable incumbents to join the committee (Ina Dohm, Kelly Redman, Steven Rupprecht and Rob Croll). However, none of these responders fall within the accounting realm. It was therefore suggested the Eure Saaiman from the JSE head up the sub-committee.

5.3 Reporting standard and format of mineral resources and mineral reserves on an exclusionary and inclusionary basis

Two further comments have been received, one of which was “exclusive and inclusive should not be prescribed.” It was also commented that the Table, as it stands at the moment, should not be changed unless CRIRSCO and/or other professional bodies agree with any changes.

Andy Clay will denote which comments have been responded to. **Action: A Clay**

5.4 Valuation of inferred mineral resources

Comments have been received, most along the same lines as those already received. Mr Njowa stated that there is a need to have a debate on when a mineral resource would be considered “inferred.”

Andy Clay will denote and identify issues are raised in the recommendations. **Action: A Clay**

5.5 Coal

Mike O'Brien will contact Dave Dingemans with the view of appointing another person to deal with coal in his absence. **Action: M O'Brien**

5.6 Reporting styles

Andy Clay has asked Fiona if she would act as chair of the group. A CRIRSCO review on comparisons between the different codes is necessary as there must be cohesion between all those which are relevant. The codes under discussion are essentially the guide on *how* to report and not *what* to report. The group must produce a revised table or give clear guidance on how reports should be written as there are different opinions on what is required due to the different codes which are being applied. Essentially the commonalities between the codes should be identified and formulated into a checklist table. Comment from industry should then be garnered to get broad consensus on what is required when reporting.

5.7 Best practice

Has been parked until all the deliberations have consensus.

6. Ampella Resource Statement

Mr Clay stated that this is an issue for consideration by the Group. The situation is that a client was reporting into Australia an updated resource statement and included a couple of bulleted points with wording which effectively stated there were no mining factors to be included. The main disclosure guides all say that mineral resources must incorporate the mining parameters that will be used on the resource.

The recommendation is that on the next re-write the table must be changed to state something less ambiguous and changes should be made to the checklist. Mr Clay will formulate a recommendation with suitable wording. **Action: A Clay**

7. Technical Guidance paper proposal on valuation:

It is noted that Mr Dixon had stated that CRIRSCO has no jurisdiction on the papers. There is, therefore, no "home" for these codes and in his opinion it is the responsibility of the AusIMM and SAIMM to decide whether the umbrella body of CRIRSCO should take responsibility for these or is a separate representative body needed? It was agreed that:

- The Group will find out from CRIRSCO where it sees these codes being housed.
- Locally, Mr McFarlane could be approached to determine whether he would want to take this issue forward in the South African context.
- Peruse feedback from the IASB and determine whether there are those in that grouping who would like to take it forward.
- A letter would be forwarded to the SAIMM, GSSA and AusIMM to get their response on the issue.

8. IASB Event:

The event is planned for 22 July 2010. Approximately 90 people have registered to attend. Riaan Davel will be doing the introductions. There will be a panel of three plus each individual presenter

with ten minutes allowed for questions. Any issues raised will be parked and discussed during broad debate at the end of the presentations.

9. General

Mr O'Brien has assumed the chairmanship of the Group.

10. Date of next meeting

To be confirmed.