

implications for SAMREC as the GSSA and SAIMM are learned societies, recognised by many other countries. Whilst the SAIMM has a rule in place governing the discipline of CPs, there is no process; work in this regard is being done. At the last SAIMM Council meeting it was made clear that the SAIMM does not want to lose its position in respect of its members being deemed CPs; if this is taken away from the SAIMM and GSSA then there is essentially no need for them to continue to fund SAMREC and SAMVAL deliberations. Furthermore, if membership of the SAIMM or GSSA is not considered adequate enough for CPs, then how will this affect ROPO agreements with other like-minded learned societies in other countries? Stemming from the above discussion arose the following questions:

- a. Does SAMREC want to follow SAMVAL's proposal in respect of the registration of CPs (i.e. statutorily established bodies only for registration) or remain with professional membership of a learned society?
- b. How will the behaviour of CPs be monitored?
- c. How will training in the Code be done and by whom?
- d. In what are CPs actually competent?
- e. Is a separated body, similar to the Medical Council, required to accommodate the registration of CPs?
- f. How will the requirements of the JSE, in terms of a register of CPs, be affected if registration is allotted to one or other learned society or stat. body?
- g. If membership of the SAIMM is not sufficient for consideration as a CP, how will this affect ROPOs?

After discussion the chair summarised the recommendations which will be tabled to the SAIMM, GSSA and SSC:

- a. SAMREC want both learned societies on board but both must consider professional registration processes for the registration of CPs.
- b. SAMREC should undertake some anonymous reviews of reports which use the SAMREC Code as the reporting standard, to review those reports and give input as to their competency and compliance to the Code; essentially an audit of how the Code is being applied. Where necessary, disciplinary action will be applied.
- c. Another issue which must be addressed is what constitutes a "private" and "public" company. Private companies that publish reports on the web are essentially putting their information into the public domain. How will this issue be addressed?
- d. SAMREC should write, every quarter, a report on what it is doing and which should be published in a GSSA or SAIMM publication.

6. Table 1 Discussion

The chairman proposed that the format of the Table be modified and all non-technical information be removed from the Table and included into a preamble.

7. General:

Training:

- a. Should training on the Code be charged for?
- b. Should training on the new Code start before it is published?

Mr Austin will formulate a proposal in respect of training and table such at the next meeting.

8. Closing:

There being no further business the meeting concluded at 11h15.

SUMMARY OF KEY ACTION ITEMS

Mr Austin:

Put together a proposal on training (charges etc.) for tabling at the next meeting.